

Founded 1997 as MaxImage!

April 2008  
 Volume 11, Number 5

Editor/Publisher  
**James Hyder**

Associate Editor  
**William Hyder**

Circulation Manager  
**Thomas Jacobs**

Published 11 times a year by  
 Cinergetics, LLC, and distributed  
 by first class mail.  
 Printed in the USA.

ISSN 1532-5504

Subscriptions: US\$377  
 Outside North America: US\$427  
 All payments must be made in  
 US funds.

Substantial discounts are avail-  
 able for multiple subscriptions to  
 the same address.

Editorial Offices  
 5430 Lynx Lane, #223  
 Columbia, MD 21044-2302 USA  
 Tel: 410-997-2780  
 editor@LFExaminer.com

© 2008 by Cinergetics, LLC  
 All rights reserved. No portion  
 of this publication may be repro-  
 duced by any means without  
 written permission of the copy-  
 right holder.

**Copyright Warning and Notice**  
 It is a violation of U.S. and  
 international copyright laws to  
 reproduce all or part of this  
 publication or its contents by  
 any means. The U.S. Copyright  
 Act imposes liability of up to  
 \$150,000 per issue for such  
 infringement.

Information concerning illicit  
 duplication will be gratefully  
 received.

Individuals and organizations  
 wishing to reprint articles from *LF  
 Examiner* must obtain written  
 permission from us in advance.

The opinions of contributors are  
 not necessarily those of *LF Ex-  
 aminer* or its parent company,  
 Cinergetics, LLC.

Trademarks referred to in *LF  
 Examiner* are the property of their  
 respective owners.

# The Insiders

**This month's focus:**  
**Digital Cinema**

## Digital Cinema or Film?

by Steven J. Thorburn, PE

Having just returned from Sho-  
 West, the annual west coast  
 cinema trade show, where films are  
 screened and theater seats, theater  
 concessions, and theater projection  
 systems are displayed, I only saw two  
 vendors on the floor who had the  
 courage to exhibit film projectors. All  
 the others, NEC, Sony, Barco, and  
 Christie, were pushing digital projec-  
 tors.

I have been a fan of digital projec-  
 tion ever since 1996, when I had the  
 privilege of working on the Los Ange-  
 les InfoComm Special Event Theater,  
 said to be the first public screening of  
 digital cinema. The entire visual sys-  
 tem was digital up to the point the  
 image left the projector. The content,  
 stored on digital videotape, was sent  
 straight to a Hughes/JVC D-ILA  
 light-valve projector. Attendees were  
 stunned by this promising new audio-  
 visual medium.

Digital cinema has come a long  
 way since and has some excellent  
 applications for theaters, but still  
 isn't the best choice for every situa-  
 tion. There are benefits: print costs  
 go away, the last run of the show is  
 just as good as the first, a multiplex  
 can quickly reschedule theaters based  
 on today's ticket sales.

But for theaters with screens wider  
 than 20 feet (6 meters), you pay a  
 price in image quality. Today's DCI-  
 compliant systems supply what I  
 would describe as only slightly better  
 than really good broadcast TV, and  
 only one-fourth to one-tenth of the  
 picture information you could see in  
 the typical 35mm movie house. (DCI  
 is the Digital Cinema Initiative, de-  
 veloper and keeper of standards for  
 the industry.)

I could spend the rest of the article  
 discussing what parts of the image are  
 being thrown away. Instead, here's an  
 analogy: Think back about 15 years,  
 to the dot matrix printer. You may  
 have had one connected to your com-  
 puter. If you shelled out big bucks  
 you could even get a color dot matrix

printer. Remember what a printed  
 page of words looked like? At around  
 24 dots per inch, it was readable, but  
 nothing like the quality of text that  
 you are reading now.

The needs of museums, educa-  
 tional institutions, and conference  
 venues differ from those of the cine-  
 plex, and digital cinema as it is right  
 now does not always meet them.  
 DCI-compliant projectors get you  
 2,048 dots, or pixels, across the width  
 of your projected image, whether  
 your screen is 20 feet wide or 100  
 feet (6 meters or 30 meters). The  
 equivalent resolution in film, de-  
 pending on the physical size of the  
 film stock (from 16mm all the way up  
 to 15/70) is in the range of 8,000 to  
 20,000 pixels across the width of the  
 screen. Going back to the printer  
 analogy, would you buy the argument  
 that your current high-res color laser  
 printer should be replaced with an  
 old dot matrix?

DCI has done a great job in setting  
 the bar as high as possible for right  
 now. And right now, for best results,  
 the image size in a digital cinema  
 auditorium should be limited to  
 about 20 feet wide. And the best  
 seats in the house are going to be at  
 least 20 feet away; any closer and a  
 critical viewer can see the dots that  
 make up the image. This is based on  
 my observations of numerous film  
 and digital projection systems over  
 the last 30 years, as projectionist and  
 system engineer, including my experi-  
 ence in the certification of LF the-  
 aters.

Why can't DCI set the bar higher  
 right now? It's the chip. The indus-  
 try's primary chipmaker, Texas In-  
 struments, currently makes a 2K  
 (1080x2048-pixel) chip. The image  
 on the chip is updated between 24  
 and 144 times per second. The high-  
 est frame rate permits single-projector  
 3D by flashing each frame of each eye  
 three times (24x2x3=144).

Of course, the industry is working  
 to improve image quality. Sony has a  
 4K system. JVC has a process that  
 claims even higher resolution, shown  
 last year at the National Association  
 of Broadcasters' conference. Some

systems blend images from multiple  
 projectors. (At least one firm claims it  
 can blend 100 projectors together —  
 think about the commission on that  
 sale! Better yet, buy stock in the lamp  
 manufacturer before you sign the  
 deal.) Some fresh advances may be  
 unveiled at NAB's Digital Cinema  
 Summit in Las Vegas this month.

Getting back to today's DCI-  
 compliant projector, for the sake of  
 easy math, let's say it has 2,000 hori-  
 zontal pixels, and we project it onto  
 an 80-foot-wide giant screen. That  
 gives 25 pixels per foot, or about two  
 pixels per inch. Put the same image  
 on a 40-foot wide multiplex screen:  
 50 dots per foot or 4.2 dots per inch.  
 Better, but I still would not want to  
 be sitting in the front row. In film  
 terms, you would have a very grainy  
 image. So if you want to provide an  
 immersive experience showing the  
 breathtaking vistas of Africa or outer  
 space, or a visually detailed nature,  
 history, or science documentary, for  
 now you should stick with film.

On the other hand, if you are in-  
 stallating or updating a small, 40-  
 to 200-seat theater, then let's look at  
 digital. On a screen 9 feet high by 16  
 feet wide (3 meters by 5 meters), you  
 will have about 120 pixels per foot,  
 depending on the projection system  
 you select. This is much better than  
 the "grainy" 25 pixels per foot in the  
 giant-screen example above. But note  
 that it doesn't compete with the qual-  
 ity of good home theater. It is a far  
 cry from the 480 pixels per foot that  
 give me a picture window to the  
 world on the digital flat panel in my  
 home.

The advantage of a small, digital  
 theater system with DCI-compliant  
 projectors is that it makes a great,  
 versatile screening room with options  
 far beyond what you get with a film  
 projector. Content changeovers are  
 very fast. A good laptop can drive the  
 system. Your theater becomes a space  
 you could rent out for corporate  
 meetings, educational sessions, or  
 other purposes. If the projector has a  
 DVI input port and the computer  
 has the same output (anything sold in

(see *INSIDER* on page 16)

*(from INSIDER on page 2)*

the last 18 months does), the system is even more versatile. Digital cinema also greatly simplifies 3D presentation, and 3D is hot right now. There are systems on the market that will allow you to change over from 3D to 2D in seconds.

One might assume that 3D projection puts twice the information on the screen, because it is transmitting separate images for the left eye and right eye. But for practical reasons, single-projector 3D systems reduce the amount of information in each frame, with the result that the visual quality is roughly the same as 2D. And 3D has other issues, one being it reduces the number of seats from which the audience can

get an optimal view. To make the most of a 3D installation, be sure that it also gives a good 2D experience.

So what should you buy? When working with our clients, we always start our line of questions with a statement: Do not think about the technology. Tell us what you want to do in your venue. What are the program needs for the space? If you have a mission, what requirement does that bring to the table?

If you have a small theater that has multi-use needs or if you can produce your own show in full high-def video, then a digital projection system is what to look for. If, however, you want or need high-quality visual resolution and color depth,

these are still much more attainable in the realm of film.

*Steve Thorburn of Thorburn Associates Inc. is an independent acoustical and technology consultant and a licensed professional engineer. In the 1980s he worked on projects for George Lucas, Dolby Labs, and Disney as a project engineer developing and overseeing the acoustical design and construction of film production studios and screening rooms. In the mid-1990s he provided quality certification of LF theaters. He can be reached at Steve@TA-Inc.com.*

© 2008 by Thorburn Associates. Reprinted with permission from IPM Magazine, [www.inparkmagazine.com](http://www.inparkmagazine.com), and Thorburn Associates.